

*Erie  
County  
Legal  
Journal*

February 18, 2011

Vol. 94 No. 7

USPS 178-360



---

In the Superior Court of Pennsylvania  
Commonwealth v. Rhodes

---

# Erie County Legal Journal

*Reporting Decisions of the Courts of Erie County  
The Sixth Judicial District of Pennsylvania*

Managing Editor: Paula J. Gregory

Associate Editor: Heidi M. Weismiller

**PLEASE NOTE: NOTICES MUST BE RECEIVED AT THE ERIE COUNTY BAR ASSOCIATION OFFICE BY 3:00 P.M. THE FRIDAY PRECEDING THE DATE OF PUBLICATION.**

All legal notices must be submitted in typewritten form and are published exactly as submitted by the advertiser. The Erie County Bar Association will not assume any responsibility to edit, make spelling corrections, eliminate errors in grammar or make any changes in content.

The Erie County Legal Journal makes no representation as to the quality of services offered by an advertiser in this publication.

## INDEX

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <b>NOTICE TO THE PROFESSION .....</b>                       | <b>4</b>  |
| <b>OPINION .....</b>  | <b>6</b>  |
| <b>COURT OF COMMON PLEAS</b>                                |           |
| Fictitious Name Notice .....                                | 16        |
| Incorporation Notices .....                                 | 16        |
| Legal Notice .....  | 16        |
| <b>ORPHANS' COURT</b>                                       |           |
| Estate Notices .....  | 17        |
| <b>CHANGES IN CONTACT INFORMATION OF ECBA MEMBERS .....</b> | <b>22</b> |

ERIE COUNTY LEGAL JOURNAL (ISSN 0730-6393) is published every Friday for \$57 per year (\$1.50 single issues/\$5.00 special issues, i.e. Seated Tax Sales). Owned and published by the Erie County Bar Association (Copyright 2011©) 302 West 9th St., Erie, PA 16502 (814/459-3111). Periodical Postage paid at Erie, PA 16515. POSTMASTER: Send Address changes to THE ERIE COUNTY LEGAL JOURNAL, 302 West 9th St., Erie, PA 16502-1427.

# Erie County Bar Association

## Calendar of Events and Seminars

### TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 2011

#### *E-Discovery*

ECBA Live Lunch-n-Learn Seminar

Bayfront Convention Center

12:15 p.m. - 1:15 p.m. (11:45 a.m. lunch)

\$32 (ECBA member/non-attorney staff)

\$48 (nonmember)

1 hour substantive

### WEDNESDAY, MARCH 2, 2011

*Litigating the Complex Disfigurement Case: Specific Loss, Hearing Loss, & Vision Claims*

PBI Groupcast Seminar

Erie County Bar Association

12:30 p.m. - 3:45 p.m. (12:00 p.m. reg.)

*Lunch Included*

\$254 (member) \$234 (admitted after 1/1/07)

\$274 (nonmember)

Early Registration - If you register more than 2 days before this presentation you will qualify for this Early Registration Fee:

\$229 (member) \$209 (admitted after 1/1/07) \$249 (nonmember)

3 hours substantive

### TUESDAY, MARCH 8, 2011

*Department of Corrections 101*

PBI Video Seminar

Erie County Bar Association

9:00 a.m. - 1:30 p.m. (8:30 a.m. reg.)

\$129 (member) \$109 (admitted after 1/1/07)

\$149 (nonmember)

4 hours substantive

### MONDAY, MARCH 14, 2011

*Dead Man's Rule*

PBI Groupcast Seminar

Erie County Bar Association

12:30 p.m. - 2:30 p.m. (12:00 p.m. reg.)

*Lunch Included*

\$214 (member) \$194 (admitted after 1/1/07)

\$234 (nonmember)

Early Registration - If you register more than 2 days before this presentation you will qualify for this Early Registration Fee:

\$189 (member) \$169 (admitted after 1/1/07) \$209 (nonmember)

2 hours substantive

### THURSDAY, MARCH 17, 2011

*Primer on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act*

PBI Video Seminar

Erie County Bar Association

9:00 a.m. - 1:30 p.m. (8:30 a.m. reg.)

\$129 (member) \$109 (admitted after 1/1/07)

\$149 (nonmember)

4 hours substantive

### FRIDAY, MARCH 18, 2011

*Sophisticated Issues in Foreclosure Proceedings*

PBI Groupcast Seminar

Erie County Bar Association

8:30 a.m. - 3:45 p.m. (8:00 a.m. reg.)

*Lunch Included*

\$294 (member) \$274 (admitted after 1/1/07)

\$314 (nonmember)

Early Registration - If you register more than 2 days before this presentation you will qualify for this Early Registration Fee:

\$269 (member) \$249 (admitted after 1/1/07) \$289 (nonmember)

5 hours substantive / 1 hour ethics

### TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2011

*Slicing Up the Pie: Property Distribution in Pennsylvania*

PBI Video Seminar

Erie County Bar Association

9:00 a.m. - 12:30 p.m. (8:30 a.m. reg.)

\$219 (member) \$199 (admitted after 1/1/07)

\$239 (nonmember)

3 hours substantive

### WEDNESDAY, MARCH 23, 2011

*24th Annual Civil Litigation Update*

PBI Groupcast Seminar

Erie County Bar Association

9:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m. (8:30 a.m. reg.)

*Lunch Included*

\$274 (member) \$254 (admitted after 1/1/07)

\$294 (nonmember)

Early Registration - If you register more than 2 days before this presentation you will qualify for this Early Registration Fee:

\$249 (member) \$229 (admitted after 1/1/07) \$269 (nonmember)

5 hours substantive / 1 hour ethics

## 2011 BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Lisa Smith Presta, President

Donald F. Fessler, Jr., First Vice President

John M. Quinn, Jr., Second Vice President

J.W. Alberstadt, Jr., Past President

Thomas J. Buseck, Treasurer

Melissa H. Shirey, Secretary

Neal R. Devlin

Bradley K. Enterline

William J. Kelly, Jr.

Brian J. Krowicki

Richard A. Lanzillo

Craig A. Markham

John C. Melaragno

Raymond A. Pagliari

Edwin W. Smith

Richard A. Vendetti

The Erie Insurance Group is looking for a **Claims Examiner** in its Corporate office to handle or direct field claims as needed. Qualifications include: Bachelors degree, with five to eight years complex claim handling experience and/or equivalent background in the legal profession required. Extensive knowledge of claims handling techniques required. Pursuit and successful completion of a professional designation, such as AIC, CPCU, SCLA, or equivalent, preferred. Interested candidates should apply online at [www.erieinsurance.com](http://www.erieinsurance.com).

Feb. 18, 25

## Social Security Disability & SSI

*Referrals welcomed. Client relationships protected.*



### *Over 14,000 Favorable Decisions.*

All Stages from application through US District Court appeal process. We never charge a fee until we win the case and the client collects.

**FREE CONSULTATION**

**(814) 480-5728**

Email: [jeffrey@jeffreymfreedman.com](mailto:jeffrey@jeffreymfreedman.com)

1001 State Street, Suite 1432  
Erie, PA 16501

[www.jeffreyfreedman.com](http://www.jeffreyfreedman.com)

Administrative Offices:  
424 Main Street, Suite 622, Buffalo, NY 14202

**Jeffrey  
Freedman**  
Attorneys at Law  
est. 1980

15 Conveniently Located Offices Throughout New York & Pennsylvania

# E-Discovery

ERIE COUNTY BAR ASSOCIATION

Live Lunch-n-Learn Seminar

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 2011

Bayfront Convention Center

Lunch - 11:45 a.m.

Seminar - 12:15 p.m. - 1:30 p.m.

Cost: \$32 (ECBA member/non-attorney staff)  
\$48 (nonmembers)

An introductory, yet comprehensive, presentation of e-discovery considers both the technical and legal dimensions of ESI (Electronically Stored Information). After outlining the discrete steps that comprise the E-Discovery Process (preservation, collection, filtering, processing, review and production), this format provides the context to examine the required legal functions that must be performed within each step based upon the F.R.C.P., relevant case law and emerging best practices. Special emphasis is given to recent changes in the Local Rules relative to ESI adopted by the U.S. Court, Western District of Pennsylvania and other key developments.

PRESENTER:

**RICHARD N. LETTIERI, ESQ.**

LETTIERI LAW FIRM, LLC  
PITTSBURGH, PA



Rick Lettieri is a lawyer and a technologist. He is a graduate of Lafayette College and Duquesne University School of Law and has practiced law in Pennsylvania since 1983. At IBM and PricewaterhouseCoopers, Rick held a series of management positions in litigation and legal systems and became a recognized legal systems “thought-leader.” After five years as an Electronic Evidence Legal Consultant at Kroll Ontrack, Rick formed the Lettieri Law Firm, LLC, where his practice is limited to electronic evidence and e-discovery. His complete bio, including the recent legal matters in which Rick has provided legal advice to legal teams in litigation and mediation, and his recent e-discovery articles and speaking engagements, can be reviewed at [www.lettierilaw.com](http://www.lettierilaw.com).

This seminar has been approved by the PA CLE Board for 1 hour substantive law credit.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, Appellee

v.

TERI RHODES, Appellant

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

No. 143 WDA 2009

*Appeal from the Judgment of Sentence entered November 21, 2008*

*In the Court of Common Pleas of Erie County*

*Criminal Division at No. CP-25-CR-0000110-2008*

BEFORE: FORD ELLIOTT, P.J., ORIE MELVIN and BENDER, JJ.

OPINION BY BENDER, J.:

Filed: December 31, 2009

*This opinion is continued from last week's issue of the  
Erie County Legal Journal - Vol. 94 No. 6 - February 11, 2011*

¶ 14 In this appeal, Rhodes challenges the judgment of sentence the court imposed as well as Judge Cunningham's refusal to recuse himself from participation in the case in response to the multiple requests Rhodes's counsel made. Rhodes's Statement of the Questions Involved appears as follows:

I. Whether the court relied upon improper considerations in the imposition of sentence.

A. Intentional premeditated killing

B. Matters not of record

C. Racial considerations/Chytoria Graham case

D. Morality

II. Whether the lower court abused its discretion in the imposition of sentence.

A. Whether the sentence exceeded the Sentencing Guidelines and was unreasonable[.]

B. Whether the sentence imposed was manifestly excessive.

C. Whether the sentencing court abused its discretion by imposing sentence based exclusively upon the seriousness of the crime and giving no consideration to the Defendant's personal history, rehabilitative needs or background.

III. Whether the Due Process clauses of the United States and Pennsylvania Constitutions are violated when a defendant enters a guilty plea to Voluntary Manslaughter but the court, after conducting an *in camera* investigation, concludes that the defendant committed first degree murder and enhances the sentence based upon the *in camera* proceedings.

IV. Whether the lower court abused its discretion in refusing to recuse.

Brief for Appellant at 4-5.<sup>17</sup>

¶ 15 As a preliminary matter, we note that Rhodes's questions I, II, and III challenge discretionary aspects of the process of sentencing as applied by the trial court. Accordingly, Rhodes is not entitled to review of those questions as of right, *see Commonwealth v. Fiascki*, 886 A.2d 261, 263 (Pa. Super. 2005); we consider them in the first instance only as requests for allowance of appeal subject to the requirements of Pa.R.A.P. 2119(f) and the body of case law that interprets and applies it. *See Commonwealth v. Hoch*, 936 A.2d 515, 518 (Pa. Super. 2007). Rule 2119(f) requires that Rhodes, as the appellant, include in

<sup>17</sup> The Commonwealth did not submit an advocate's brief in this case but instead incorporated by reference the trial court's opinions of January 26, 2009 and March 31, 2009.

her brief a Concise Statement of Reasons Relied Upon for Allowance of Appeal which, in turn, must raise a “substantial question” as to whether the trial judge, in imposing sentence, violated a provision of the Sentencing Code or contravened a “fundamental norm” of the sentencing process. *See Fiascki*, 886 A.2d at 263; *Commonwealth v. Ousley*, 573 A.2d 599, 601 (Pa. Super. 1990). The determination of whether a particular issue poses a substantial question is to be made on a case-by-case basis. *See Fiascki*, 886 A.2d at 263.

¶ 16 In this case, Rhodes has satisfied the threshold requirements for review of the discretionary aspects of the sentence imposed, having included a thorough Rule 2119(f) Statement. That statement poses substantial questions concerning Judge Cunningham’s imposition of sentence based solely on the seriousness of the offense without considering all relevant factors, *see Commonwealth v. Macias*, 968 A.2d 773, 776 (Pa. Super. 2009); his reliance upon impermissible considerations, *see id.*, *see also Commonwealth v. Crork*, 966 A.2d 585, 590 (Pa. Super. 2009), including unsubstantiated hearsay, *see Commonwealth v. Cruz*, 402 A.2d 536 (1979); his imposition of an excessive sentence beyond the ranges of the Sentencing Guidelines based on impermissible considerations, *see id.*, *see also Commonwealth v. Kraft*, 737 A.2d 755, 757 (Pa. Super. 1999), *Commonwealth v. Guth*, 735 A.2d 709, 711 (Pa. Super. 1999); his reliance on crimes and conduct not charged, *see Commonwealth v. Chase*, 530 A.2d 458, 462 (Pa. Super. 1987), *Commonwealth v. Stufflet*, 469 A.2d 240, 243 (Pa. Super. 1983), and his reliance on matters outside the record such as police reports, *see Commonwealth v. Schwartz*, 418 A.2d 637, 638, 640–41 (Pa. Super. 1980).

¶ 17 Following careful scrutiny of the record as well as the trial court’s written submissions, we find ample ground for vacating the judgment of sentence based on Rhodes’s challenges to the court’s reliance on impermissible considerations. *See id.* (“[I]t is not necessary that an appellate court be convinced that the trial judge in fact relied upon an erroneous consideration; it is sufficient to render a sentence invalid if it reasonably appears from the record that the trial court relied in whole or in part upon such a factor.”). The court’s reliance on police reports it obtained *ex parte* is of particular concern, as Judge Cunningham failed to afford Rhodes the opportunity to cross-examine the witnesses whose hearsay statements comprised the bulk of the reports’ contents. He then drew factual inferences directly from those reports on the basis of which he imposed a sentence almost five times that recommended by the Commonwealth and only one to two years shy of the statutory maximum for Voluntary Manslaughter. The court then sought to buttress the sentence with the repeated assertion that its duration reflected Rhodes’s commission of a “calculated, premeditated killing,” reflecting a finding of elements that define an offense with which Rhodes was not charged and to which she did not plead. Every such occurrence contravened accepted sentencing “norms” in this Commonwealth. *See id.* (“Other jurisdictions have similarly recognized the impropriety of a judge sentencing on out-of-court information, communication and investigation . . . and this [C]ourt has previously noted that reliance on unverified hearsay outside the record is impermissible.”); *Commonwealth v. Sypin*, 491 A.2d 1371, 1372 (Pa. Super. 1985) (reaffirming holdings of *Commonwealth v. Karash*, 452 A.2d 528, 528 (Pa. Super. 1982), and *Stufflet*, *supra*, that trial court may not impose sentence on the basis of offense or conduct not charged or pled).

¶ 18 In so stating, we acknowledge that prior to imposing sentence “[a] sentencing judge ‘may appropriately conduct an inquiry broad in scope, largely unlimited either as to the

kind of information he may consider, or the source from which it may come.” *Schwartz*, 418 A.2d 637, 640-641 (quoting *United States v. Tucker*, 404 U.S. 443, 446 (1972)).

Nevertheless, the discretion of a sentencing judge is not unfettered; a defendant has the right to minimal safeguards to ensure that the sentencing court does not rely on factually erroneous information, and any sentence predicated on such false assumptions is inimicable [sic] to the concept of due process. *United States v. Tucker, supra*; *Townsend v. Burke*, 334 U.S. 736, 68 S.Ct. 1252, 92 L.Ed. 1690 (1948). Obviously, the probability of receiving accurate pre-sentence information is considerably enhanced when the defendant has an opportunity to review and dispute the facts and allegations available to the sentencing judge.

*Id.* See also *Karash*, 452 A.2d at 528 (citations omitted) (“[T]he court violates the defendant’s right to due process if, in deciding upon the sentence, it considers unreliable information or information affecting the court’s impartiality, or information that it is otherwise unfair to hold against the defendant.”).<sup>18</sup>

¶ 19 Despite the trial court’s assertions here that defense counsel had equal access to the police reports on which the court relied at sentencing, the fact remains that the court made use of those reports without advance disclosure and in place of the pre-sentence report on which the defense quite reasonably relied.<sup>19</sup> We find the court’s undisclosed use of these documents a source of substantial prejudice. Our Sentencing Code sanctions the use of pre-sentence reports based upon the investigation of a probation officer who, unlike the Commonwealth and the prosecuting police officers who compile police reports, is a “professional neutral.” See *Schwartz*, 418 A.2d at 642. As a prophylactic measure, the resulting pre-sentence investigation report must then be disclosed to allow the defendant and her counsel the opportunity to challenge the information it contains. See *id.* (“The prophylactic measure of pre-sentence report disclosure would be seriously compromised if the sentencing judge was permitted to surreptitiously gather information outside the report without affording the defendant the opportunity to verify its accuracy.”). If a pre-sentence report prepared by a “professional neutral” must be disclosed, “then *a fortiori* the information proffered by the prosecuting officials need be disclosed and examined.” *Id.*

¶ 20 Regrettably, the trial court’s reliance on the undisclosed and unchallenged hearsay of the police reports allowed it to reach the tendentious characterization of Rhodes’s conduct on

---

<sup>18</sup> The court’s several opinions attempt to buttress its consideration of matters outside the record by reference to our en banc decision in *Commonwealth v. Goggins*. See, e.g., Rule 1925(a) Opinion, 3/31/09, at 13 (quoting *Goggins*, 748 A.2d 721, 728 (Pa. Super. 2000) (noting that it is “part of the responsibility of a sentencing judge to ‘conduct sufficient presentence inquiry such that, at a minimum, the court is apprised of the particular circumstances of the offense, not limited to those of record, as well as the defendant’s personal history and background.’”)) (emphasis in trial court opinion). The court’s reliance is misplaced. We reached our decision in *Goggins* on the basis of a trial judge’s decision to confine a defendant to prison without ordering a pre-sentence investigation, questioning the defendant concerning his personal background, or otherwise informing himself of the sentencing factors the Rules of Court require a sentencing judge to consider. See *Goggins*, 748 A.2d at 728. To that end, our decision recognizes our current pre-sentence investigation process as the preferred method by which a trial judge should apprise himself of the appropriate information at sentencing. See *id.* (quoting *Commonwealth v. Martin*, 351 A.2d 650, 657 (Pa. 1976) (quoting ABA PROJECT ON MINIMUM STANDARDS OF JUSTICE, STANDARDS RELATING TO PROBATION § 2.3 (Approved Draft, 1970)). In no way did our decision license the manner of *ex parte* investigation the court conducted in this case where, we note, a pre-sentence report had been compiled. Nor did it abrogate the disclosure requirement in *Schwartz, supra*.

<sup>19</sup> As we have discussed, *supra*, disclosure of the trial court’s *ex parte* contact was ultimately made by the District Attorney after the court had already composed and disseminated its Statement of Sentencing Rationale.



which it based its rejection of the FBI neonaticide profile and the Commonwealth's sentence recommendation. Although a court is never compelled to accept the Commonwealth's recommendation of sentence on an open plea, the bases upon which Judge Cunningham did so cannot be sustained on the record before us. Rhodes was not charged with premeditated killing, *i.e.*, murder in the first degree, and did not accept premeditation as part of the factual basis of her plea. Nevertheless, the court consciously relied on that element at sentencing, emphasizing repeatedly that Rhodes's crime was a "premeditated, calculated and intentional killing." N.T., Sentencing, 11/21/08, at 38, 39, 50, 63. In so doing, the court effectively convicted and sentenced the defendant for conduct and intent she had not admitted and could not prepare to address. Rhodes's crime was Voluntary Manslaughter as defined by 18 Pa.C.S. § 2503(a); she was presumptively innocent of first degree murder.<sup>20</sup> Of course, we cannot know whether the court was motivated in its determination merely by its view of the facts, or by other factors such as its personal philosophy on sentencing or its disapproval of the Commonwealth's exercise of prosecutorial discretion. Regardless, the court's own exercise of discretion in the imposition of sentence was inappropriate, unjustified, and prejudicial.

¶ 21 In response to the process the court employed at sentencing, Rhodes's counsel made multiple requests for Judge Cunningham's recusal from further consideration of this case. The court having denied each one of them, Rhodes asserts in her fourth question on appeal that the court conducted the proceedings before, during, and after sentencing in a manner suggesting at least the appearance of bias. Brief for Appellant at 46. Accordingly, Rhodes requests that we vacate the judgment of sentence and remand the matter for re-sentencing before another judge. *See id.* In its Rule 1925(a) Opinion, the trial court responds to Rhodes's assertion of the need for recusal only to the extent that Rhodes asserted the impropriety of the court's contact with a reporter the Erie Times News and the court's efforts to publish its Statement of Sentencing Rationale through various media outlets, on Erie County's website and in the Erie County Legal Journal. The Opinion does not indicate the extent of Judge Cunningham's reflection on his ability to proceed impartially; nor does it analyze whether the court's conduct at sentencing might give rise to the appearance of bias. We find the potential for such an appearance significant and therefore dispositive of this appeal.

"The sentencing decision is of paramount importance in our criminal justice system," and must be adjudicated by a fair and unbiased judge. *Commonwealth v. Knighton*, 490 Pa. 16, 415 A.2d 9, 21 (1980). This means, a jurist who "assess[es] the case in an impartial manner, free of personal bias or interest in the outcome." *Commonwealth v. Abu-Jamal*, 553 Pa. 485, 720 A.2d 79, 89 (1998). Because of the tremendous discretion a judge has when sentencing, "a defendant is entitled

---

<sup>20</sup> Judge Cunningham's Supplement to Rule 1925(a) Opinion, filed on May 4, 2009, would appear to substantiate our concern that the court deemed it appropriate to impose sentence on the basis of conduct and intent neither charged nor pled. In that supplemental opinion, Judge Cunningham directs our attention to the case of Lauren Elizabeth Jones who killed her newborn infant under circumstances similar to those at issue here. The opinion notes that Jones, who was prosecuted in Butler County, pled *nolo contendere* to Murder in the Third Degree, Concealing Death of a Child Born Out of Wedlock, and Abuse of a Corpse, and observes that the trial court imposed an aggregate sentence of eight years to twenty years imprisonment. The Jones case is, of course, distinguishable. Regardless of any similarity of the facts in the two cases, the determining factor remains the level of culpability imposed by the plea the respective defendants accepted. Unlike Jones, Rhodes did not enter a plea to murder of any degree. Any determination to sentence her as if she had is a clear violation of due process and an abuse of the trial court's sentencing discretion. *See Karash*, 452 A.2d at 529; *Schwartz*, 418 A.2d at 638-39 (citing *Commonwealth v. Bethea*, 379 A.2d 102, 106-07 (Pa. 1977)).

to sentencing by a judge whose impartiality cannot reasonably be questioned.” *Commonwealth v. Darush*, 501 Pa. 15, 459 A.2d 727, 732 (1983). “A tribunal is either fair or unfair. There is no need to find actual prejudice, but rather, the appearance of prejudice is sufficient to warrant the grant of new proceedings.” *In Interest of McFall*, 617 A.2d 707, 714 (Pa. 1992)

*Commonwealth v. Druce*, 848 A.2d 104, 108 (Pa. 2004).

¶ 22 Our Supreme Court presumes that judges of this Commonwealth are “honorably, fair and competent[,]” and vests in each jurist the duty to determine, in the first instance, whether he or she can preside impartially. *Id.* (citing *Commonwealth v. White*, 734 A.2d 374, 384 (Pa. 1999)). In the context of criminal sentencing, however, this standard requires that the judge recuse himself not only when he doubts his own ability to preside impartially, but whenever he “believes his impartiality can be reasonably questioned.” *Commonwealth v. Lemanski*, 529 A.2d 1085, 1088-1089 (Pa. Super. 1987) (quoting *Commonwealth v. Goodman*, 311 A.2d 652, 654 (Pa. 1973)). See also *Abu-Jamal*, 720 A.2d at 89; *Commonwealth v. Benchoff*, 700 A.2d 1289, 1294-1295 (Pa. Super. 1997) (citing *In the Interest of McFall*, 617 A.2d at 712 (“Because the integrity of the judiciary is compromised by the appearance of impropriety, recusal is necessary where the judge’s behavior appears to be biased or prejudicial.”). Consequently, “a party arguing for recusal need not prove that the judge’s rulings actually prejudiced him; it is enough to prove that the reasonable observer might question the judge’s impartiality.” *Reilly by Reilly v. Southeastern Pennsylvania Transp. Auth.*, 479 A.2d 973, 991-993 (Pa. Super. 1984). See also *Lemanski*, 529 A.2d at 1088 (“We share in the Supreme Court’s awareness that the appearance of bias or prejudice can be as damaging to public confidence in the administration of justice as would be the actual presence of these elements.) (internal citations omitted). Similarly, a party’s call for recusal need not be based only upon discreet incidents, but may also assert the cumulative effect of a judge’s remarks and conduct even though no single act creates an appearance of bias or impropriety. See *Benchoff*, 700 A.2d at 1295.

¶ 23 Our review of a trial court’s decision denying a motion to recuse is limited to abuse of discretion. See *id.* Where the claim at issue arises from imposition of a criminal sentence, we may find such an abuse when objective scrutiny of the record casts doubt on the judge’s impartiality, see *Darush*, 459 A.2d at 732, or “where the judge’s behavior *appears* to be biased or prejudicial[,]” see *Benchoff*, 700 A.2d at 1294-1295 (citing *In the Interest of McFall*, 617 A.2d at 712)). Indeed, our Supreme Court has recognized that even upon confirmation that a sentencing judge did not give effect to his personal bias, the extraordinary discretion he is empowered to exercise may compel his removal from the case if his impartiality can be reasonably questioned. See also *Darush*, 459 A.2d at 732 (“[C]onsidering all the circumstances, especially the trial court’s inability to affirmatively admit or deny making remarks from which a significant minority of the lay community could reasonably question the court’s impartiality, we feel the largely unfettered sentencing discretion afforded a judge is better exercised by one without hint of animosity toward appellant.”). Thus, the determinative factor in sentencing cases remains the integrity of the process and the necessity that the judge’s impartiality “cannot reasonably be questioned.” *Id.*

¶ 24 To that end, a judge’s removal may be compelled where his remarks reflect prejudgment of the case as one of a particular class of cases or where his reliance at sentencing on

conduct or offenses not charged raises a reasonable question about his impartiality. *See Lemanski*, 529 A.2d at 1088 (“A party is not limited to his own case in establishing personal bias, and may show temperamental prejudice on the particular class of litigation involved to support his allegations.”) (citation omitted); *Commonwealth v. Sypin*, 491 A.2d 1371, 1374 (Pa. Super. 1985) (vacating judgment of sentence and remanding for resentencing before another judge on the basis of perceived bias where judge, when imposing sentence, referenced disappearance and death of children although defendant had not been charged with kidnapping or killing children); *Commonwealth v. Bryant*, 476 A.2d 422 (Pa. Super. 1984) (remanding case for resentencing before a different judge where sentencing judge’s in camera remarks in a prior case showed his predetermination to impose maximum sentence and defendant filed a motion for recusal).<sup>21</sup>

¶ 25 In this case, the record offers ample basis upon which to question the trial court’s impartiality. Notably, the court responded to Rhodes’s allegations of bias without a discussion of the subjective reflection our law requires of every judge whose impartiality is questioned. *See Druce*, 848 A.2d at 108 (“If a party questions the impartiality of a judge, the proper recourse is a motion for recusal, requesting that the judge make an independent, self-analysis of the ability to be impartial. If content with that inner examination, the judge must then decide ‘whether his or her continued involvement in the case creates an appearance of impropriety and/or would tend to undermine public confidence in the judiciary.’”) (citation omitted). Instead, the court sought to justify its decision not to recuse by denying any external affiliation or relationship that would demonstrate bias and then castigated defense counsel for seeking the court’s recusal. *See* Rule 1925(a) Opinion, 3/31/09, at 7; Trial Court Opinion and Order, 1/26/09, at 61. Such an examination is not sufficient to satisfy the direction of applicable case law and may, in its tone and apparent insufficiency, reinforce doubts otherwise raised by the record concerning the appearance of bias. *See Darush*, 459 A.2d at 732.

¶ 26 Regrettably, the record, which we have examined in exhaustive detail, raises significant concerns that the trial court may have prejudged this case or reached its decision at sentencing on the basis of improper considerations. Although a judge is never constrained to accept a plea, Judge Cunningham accepted Rhodes’s plea to Voluntary Manslaughter and, correctly, directed compilation of a presentence report. Having received the report, he then declined to use it and relied instead on police reports he ordered from the Commonwealth, *ex parte*. His use of those reports remained undisclosed to Rhodes’s counsel until the sentencing hearing was in progress and the court had already completed and distributed its Statement of Sentencing Rationale to all present in the courtroom, except counsel. Accordingly, Rhodes was deprived of any meaningful opportunity to challenge the layered hearsay of the reports, which examination of the Statement of Sentencing Rationale verifies served as the primary source of information on which the court made its determination to impose a sentence close to the statutory maximum. In that Statement, as well as its remarks at sentencing, the court stated, repeatedly and unequivocally, that it reached its determination based on Rhodes’s commission of a premeditated killing, notwithstanding the fact that

<sup>21</sup> Contrary to the trial court’s assertion, *see* Rule 1925(a) Opinion, 3/31/09, at 34, this Court does, under limited circumstances, retain the authority to direct resentencing before another judge. Although our Supreme Court limited our authority to replace a trial judge *sua sponte*, *see Commonwealth v. Whitmore*, 912 A.2d 827, 834 (Pa. 2006), it did not purport to do so where the aggrieved party filed a motion to recuse and the record establishes that the trial judge abused his discretion in denying it.

premeditation is not an element of the crime to which Rhodes offered her plea. Consistent with its determination concerning premeditation, the court's Statement then repudiated the Commonwealth's sentencing recommendation on the basis of an unrelated case (Chytoria Graham) before it offered the District Attorney any opportunity to respond or explain. To all appearances, the court then made *de facto* findings of fact, seemingly ascribing conduct to Rhodes, *e.g.*, inducing her own labor, that appears nowhere in the charges against her.

¶ 27 Viewed from a third party perspective, these occurrences render an appearance that the court adjudged this case based not on the conduct charged, but on conduct intimated - which, because it involved a child victim, according to Judge Cunningham, must merit an aggravated sentence regardless of the plea the defendant tendered or the factual basis for that plea. *See Lemanski*, 529 A.2d at 1088 (indicating that the appearance of partiality may be shown by evidence that the court harbored a bias concerning a particular class of cases). This approach is documented throughout the record, most particularly in the court's repudiation of D.A. Foulk's explanation of the exercise of the Commonwealth's prosecutorial discretion and in the court's declaration that any other approach "creates an open season on all infant children in our community." *See* n.14, *supra* (quoting Statement of Sentence Rationale, 11/21/08, at 30). These occurrences, coupled with the court's responsiveness to the apparent suggestion of the Erie Times News that Rhodes's conduct was not consistent with a charge of Voluntary Manslaughter and that other defendants who killed children had received long term sentences, *see supra*, n.15, are sufficient to cause us significant reservation at the extent to which the court imposed sentence without prejudging the case, giving voice to the judge's personal sentencing philosophy, or complying with the demands of external opinion.

¶ 28 The court's explanation does little to allay our concern. In point of fact, its attacks on defense counsel's integrity and its cursory denial of Rhodes's motion for bail on the basis of an obvious typographical error lend additional substance to our reservations. Although we approach this determination with regret, we must assure that no hint of improper motive undermines the just resolution of criminal charges in our courts and that no defendant's sentence be subject to a reasoned perception of bias. *See Darush*, 459 A.2d at 732. *See also Benchoff*, 700 A.2d at 1294-1295. "We, as jurists, are committed to impartiality. But if we allow our personal opinions and goals to cause us to manipulate the law, our commitment is no longer credible, no matter how righteous our purpose." *Lemanski*, 529 A.2d at 1089. Under the circumstances of this case, given the cumulative effect of Judge Cunningham's conduct and remarks, *see Benchoff*, 700 A.2d 16 1295, it is clear that the trial court's impartiality could be reasonably questioned. We conclude accordingly that Judge Cunningham abused his discretion in refusing to recuse himself in response to the multiple motions filed by Rhodes's counsel. In view of the taint that follows such a determination, we vacate the judgment of sentence and remand this case for re-sentencing before another judge. *See Whitmore*, 912 A.2d at 834.

¶ 29 Judgment of sentence **VACATED**. Case **REMANDED** for resentencing before another judge. Jurisdiction **RELINQUISHED**.

**COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, Petitioner**

**v.**

**TERI RHODES, Respondent**

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA WESTERN DISTRICT  
No. 45 WAL 2010

*Petition for Allowance of Appeal from the Order of the Supreme Court*

**ORDER**

**PER CURIAM:**

AND NOW, this 15th day of December, 2010, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is DENIED.

Madame Justice Orié Melvin did not participate in the consideration or decision of this matter.

# SHRED X OF ERIE

**ON/OFF SITE**

**CERTIFIED DOCUMENT DESTRUCTION**

**833-9035**

- ★Locally Owned Since 1999
- ★Residential & Estate Services
- ★Document Storage
- ★Level V & VI Security

---

**Holly@shredxoferie.com**  
**(814) 882-7965 - cell**

---

***Keeping YOUR Business  
YOUR Business  
... is OUR Business***

2952 W. 22nd Street  
Erie, PA 16506

## HONESTY, INTEGRITY AND EXPERIENCED TO GET RESULTS.

Criminal Defense Investigations  
Civil Litigation Support  
Personal Injury and Domestic Investigations  
Process Service



**ROGAN**  
INVESTIGATIONS  
www.RoganInvestigations.com

1001 State Street  
Suite 1400  
Erie, PA 16501

**Laurie A. Rogan**  
*PA Licensed Private Detective*  
Phone: 814-480-5788  
Cell: 814-440-0680

For over 50 years, **USI Affinity** has been administering insurance and financial programs to attorneys and other professionals.

Our programs include:

- Professional Liability
- Short-Term Disability
- Health Insurance
- Long Term Disability
- Life Insurance



**Contact us today at**  
(800)327-1550  
or visit our website at  
[www.usiaffinity.com](http://www.usiaffinity.com)



## WHAT IS YOUR PLAN TO PROTECT YOUR FAMILY?

***Understand your options and the consequences of your choices Regarding Long Term Care planning.***

Policy discounts available to ECBA members and their extended families.

**LS** LOESEL-SCHAAF  
INSURANCE AGENCY, INC.

Edward C. Althof, CLU, CEBS, CLTC  
Michael Ocilka, CLTC

3537 West 12th Street  
Erie, PA 16505

Phone: (814) 833-5433  
Fax: (814) 838-6172  
Email: [ealthof@LSinsure.com](mailto:ealthof@LSinsure.com)

**FICTITIOUS NAME NOTICE**  
 Pursuant to Act 295 of December 16, 1982 notice is hereby given of the intention to file with the Secretary of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania a "Certificate of Carrying On or Conducting Business under an Assumed or Fictitious Name." Said Certificate contains the following information:

- FICTITIOUS NAME NOTICE**
1. Fictitious Name: Home Solutions By Stephen Jones Building and Remodeling
  2. Address of principal place of business, including street and number: 10722 West Main Road, North East, PA 16428
  3. The real names and addresses, including street and number, of the persons who are parties to the registration: Great Lakes Home Solutions, LLC, 10722 West Main Road, North East, PA 16428
  4. An application for registration of a fictitious name was filed on February 9, 2011.

Feb. 18

**INCORPORATION NOTICE**  
 Harrington Farm Association has been incorporated under the provisions of the Business Corporation Law of 1988.  
 Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett, P.C.  
 120 West 10th Street  
 Erie, PA 16501

Feb. 18

**INCORPORATION NOTICE**  
 Notice is hereby given that Sterling Healthcare Associates, Inc. has been incorporated under the provisions of the Business Corporation Law of 1988.  
 Craig A. Zonna, Esq.  
 Elderkin, Martin, Kelly & Messina  
 150 E. 8th St.  
 Erie, PA 16501

Feb. 18

**LEGAL NOTICE**  
**MARSHALS SALE:** By virtue of a Writ of Execution issued on August 24, 2010, out of the United States Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania and to

me directed, I shall expose the following real property to public sale **AT THE ERIE COUNTY COURTHOUSE, located at 140 WEST SIXTH STREET, ERIE, PENNSYLVANIA 16501, on March 4, 2011, at 9:00 a.m., local time.** Said hereinafter described property is located at 9816 Bateman Avenue, Cranesville, PA 16410, being more fully described as follows:

All those certain tracts of land, together with the buildings, and improvements erected thereon, described in Deed Book Volume 1095, Page 2109, recorded in the Recorder's Office of Erie County, Pennsylvania, seized and taken in execution as the property of Kelly L. Lascak, at the suit of *The United States of America v. Kelly L. Lascak*, to be sold on Writ of Execution at Case No. 1:10-cv-00021, filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

**TERMS OF SALE:** Successful bidder will pay ten percent (10%) by certified check to be tendered immediately at the sale and the remainder of the bid within thirty (30) days from the date of the sale and in the event bidder cannot pay the remainder, the property will be resold and all monies paid in at the original sale will be applied to any deficiency in the price at which the property is resold. Notice is hereby given that a Schedule of Distribution will be filed by me on the thirtieth day after the date of sale, and that distribution will be made in accordance with the Schedule unless exemptions are filed thereto within ten (10) days thereafter. Purchaser must furnish State Realty Transfer Tax Stamps and stamps required by the local taxing authority. Marshals' costs, fees and commissions will be the responsibility of the seller. On behalf of the U.S. Marshals Service, we are allowing the highest bidder to secure, by official bank check or money order, ten percent (10%) of the highest bid amount within one hour of the conclusion of the sale.

Additional information can be obtained through the USDA's

property foreclosure website at [www.resales.usda.gov](http://www.resales.usda.gov)  
 Feb. 4, 11, 18, 25



**ESTATE NOTICES**

Notice is hereby given that in the estates of the decedents set forth below the Register of Wills has granted letters, testamentary or of administration, to the persons named. All persons having claims or demands against said estates are requested to make known the same and all persons indebted to said estates are requested to make payment without delay to the executors or their attorneys named below.

**FIRST PUBLICATION****ANDRUS, WILLIAM R.,  
deceased**

Late of the Township of Summit, County of Erie and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
*Executor:* James F. Andrus  
*Attorney:* Thomas J. Minarcik, Esq., Elderkin, Martin, Kelly & Messina, 150 East 8th Street, Erie, PA 16501

**COSTELLO, PATRICK A.,  
deceased**

Late of the City of Corry, County of Erie and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
*Executor:* James Bryant, c/o 210 ½ Maple Ave., Corry, PA 16407  
*Attorney:* None

**GUSTAFSON, EVELYN,  
deceased**

Late of Edinboro, County of Erie, Pennsylvania  
*Executor:* James Gustafson, 1419 East 29th Street, Erie, PA 16504  
*Attorney:* None

**KAUS, ELIZABETH P.,  
deceased**

Late of Summit Township, County of Erie and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
*Executor:* Myrna E. Calabrese, c/o Kevin M. Monahan, Esq., Suite 300, 300 State Street, Erie, PA 16507  
*Attorneys:* Marsh, Spaeder, Baur, Spaeder & Schaaf, LLP, Attorneys-at-Law, Suite 300, 300 State Street, Erie, PA 16507

**LOEFFLER, ANN B.,  
deceased**

Late of Erie, PA  
*Executor:* Robert J. Loeffler, 8141 Grubb Rd., McKean, PA 16426  
*Attorney:* None

**MOORE, DOROTHY A.,  
deceased**

Late of the City of Erie, County of Erie  
*Administrator:* Lynne S. Parker Poyer, 327 Connecticut Drive, Erie, PA 16505  
*Attorney:* Donald J. Rogala, Esq., 246 West Tenth Street, Erie, PA 16501

**SECOND PUBLICATION****CATHERMAN, SUSAN K.,  
deceased**

Late of the City of Erie, County of Erie  
*Executor:* Duane C. Catherman, c/o Thomas A. Testi, Esq., P.O. Box 413, Fairview, PA 16415  
*Attorney:* Thomas A. Testi, Esq., 3952 Avonia Road, P.O. Box 413, Fairview, PA 16415

**CAUFMAN, JAMES A.,  
deceased**

Late of the City of Erie, County of Erie, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
*Executrix:* Mary M. Hilliard, c/o Quinn, Buseck, Leemhuis, Toohey & Kroto, Inc., 2222 West Grandview Blvd., Erie, PA 16506  
*Attorney:* Scott L. Wallen, Esq., Quinn, Buseck, Leemhuis, Toohey & Kroto, Inc., 2222 West Grandview Blvd., Erie, PA 16506

**DAUGHERTY, DELBERT C.,  
deceased**

Late of the Township of Harborcreek, County of Erie, Pennsylvania  
*Executor:* Carl J. Daugherty, c/o 246 West 10th Street, Erie, PA 16501  
*Attorney:* Scott E. Miller, Esquire, 246 West Tenth Street, Erie, PA 16501

**GADOMSKI, WILLIAM L.,  
deceased**

Late of the Township of Harborcreek, County of Erie, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
*Executor:* Sandra Delaney, c/o Quinn, Buseck, Leemhuis, Toohey & Kroto, Inc., 2222 West Grandview Blvd., Erie, PA 16506  
*Attorney:* Scott L. Wallen, Esq., Quinn, Buseck, Leemhuis, Toohey & Kroto, Inc., 2222 West Grandview Blvd., Erie, PA 16506

**GLASS, WILLIAM B.,  
deceased**

Late of the City of Erie, County of Erie  
*Executrix:* Filomena M. Glass, c/o Thomas A. Testi, Esq., P.O. Box 413, Fairview, PA 16415  
*Attorney:* Thomas A. Testi, Esq., 3952 Avonia Road, P.O. Box 413, Fairview, PA 16415

**GORDON, GEORGE S.,  
deceased**

Late of the Township of Millcreek, County of Erie, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
*Executrix:* Sandy G. Rounds, c/o Quinn, Buseck, Leemhuis, Toohey & Kroto, Inc., 2222 West Grandview Blvd., Erie, PA 16506  
*Attorneys:* I. John Dunn, Esq., Quinn, Buseck, Leemhuis, Toohey & Kroto, Inc., 2222 West Grandview Blvd., Erie, PA 16506

**GUNTHER, EDWARD JAMES,  
a/k/a EDWARD J. GUNTHER,  
deceased**

Late of the Township of Millcreek, County of Erie, Pennsylvania  
*Executrix:* Shyla O. Gunther, c/o 246 West 10th Street, Erie, PA 16501  
*Attorney:* Scott E. Miller, Esquire, 246 West Tenth Street, Erie, PA 16501

**HURLEY, SHIRLEY M., a/k/a  
SHIRLEY MELISSA HURLEY,  
deceased**

Late of Millcreek Township, County of Erie, and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
*Executor:* Patricia A. Hurley, c/o The McDonald Group, L.L.P., Thomas J. Buseck, P.O. Box 1757, Erie, PA 16507-1757  
*Attorney:* Thomas J. Buseck, Esq., The McDonald Group, L.L.P., P.O. Box 1757, Erie, PA 16507-1757

**KEITH, MARIAN V.,  
deceased**

Late of the City of Erie, County of Erie, Pennsylvania  
*Co-Executrices:* Marilyn Hunt and Diane Keith, c/o 150 West Fifth St., Erie, PA 16507  
*Attorney:* Colleen C. McCarthy, Esq., McCarthy, Martone & Peasley, 150 West Fifth St., Erie, PA 16507

**KOPER, BETTY F., a/k/a  
BETTY KOPER, a/k/a  
ELIZABETH F. KOPER,  
deceased**

Late of the Township of Girard, County of Erie, State of Pennsylvania  
*Executrix:* Karen A. Koper, 4211 Elk Park Road, Lake City, Pennsylvania 16423  
*Attorney:* James R. Steadman, Esq., 24 Main St. E., Girard, Pennsylvania 16417

**McHENRY, ALICE M.,  
deceased**

Late of Lawrence Park Township, Erie County, Erie, Pennsylvania  
*Executor:* Clinton S. McHenry, c/o Robert J. Jeffery, Esq., 33 East Main Street, North East, Pennsylvania 16428  
*Attorney:* Robert J. Jeffery, Esq., Orton & Jeffery, P.C., 33 East Main Street, North East, Pennsylvania 16428

**MONSCHEIN, JACOB H.,  
deceased**

Late of the City of Erie, County of Erie and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
*Executrix:* Karen Zimmerman, c/o 3305 Pittsburgh Avenue, Erie, Pennsylvania 16508  
*Attorney:* Darlene M. Vlahos, Esquire, 3305 Pittsburgh Avenue, Erie, Pennsylvania 16508

**NELSON, JEAN L., a/k/a  
JEAN NELSON, a/k/a  
JEAN LOUISE NELSON,  
deceased**

Late of the Township of Millcreek  
*Administrator:* Carol Seib  
*Attorney:* Michael G. Nelson, Esq., Marsh, Spaeder, Baur, Spaeder & Schaaf, LLP, 300 State Street, Suite 300, Erie, Pennsylvania 16507

**SHAFFER, JOYCE M., a/k/a  
JOYCE MARIE SHAFFER,  
deceased**

Late of the City of Erie, Erie County, Pennsylvania  
*Administrator:* Rodney N. Shaffer, 1034 West 36th Street, Erie, PA 16508  
*Attorney:* None

**SOTO, HERON DE LEON, a/k/a  
ERON DE LEON SOTO, a/k/a  
ERON DELEON SOTO, a/k/a  
ERON D. SOTO,  
deceased**

Late of the City of Erie, County of Erie, and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
*Executor:* Andrew De Leon Soto, c/o The McDonald Group, L.L.P., Thomas J. Buseck, P.O. Box 1757, Erie, PA 16507-1757  
*Attorney:* Thomas J. Buseck, Esq., The McDonald Group, L.L.P., P.O. Box 1757, Erie, PA 16507-1757

**TUROWSKI, PETER WALTER,  
deceased**

Late of the City of Erie  
*Administrator:* Janet Martinez  
*Attorney:* Catherine A. Allgeier, Esq., 504 State St., Suite 203, Erie, PA 16501

**ULLAND, JOHN L.,  
deceased**

Late of Erie City, Erie County, Pennsylvania  
*Executrix:* Marlene D. Ulland, 1020 West 36th Street, Erie, Pennsylvania 16508  
*Attorney:* John R. Falcone, Esq., The Gideon Ball House, 135 East 6th Street, Erie, Pennsylvania 16501

**WEAVER, EDWARD J.,  
deceased**

Late of the City of Erie  
*Administrator:* Timothy Deckert, c/o Attorney Terrence P. Cavanaugh, 3336 Buffalo Road, Wesleyville, PA 16510  
*Attorney:* Terrence P. Cavanaugh, Esq., 3336 Buffalo Road, Wesleyville, PA 16510

**WILCZEWSKI, JEAN,  
deceased**

Late of Millcreek Township, Erie County, Pennsylvania  
*Administrator:* Richard L. Wilczewski, 4611 Basin Circle, Erie, Pennsylvania 16509  
*Attorney:* John R. Falcone, Esq., The Gideon Ball House, 135 East 6th Street, Erie, Pennsylvania 16501

**WOLFF, GERALD MARK, a/k/a  
GERALD M. WOLFF,  
deceased**

Late of the Township of Harborcreek, County of Erie, and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
*Administratrix:* Deborah A. Wolff, 3304 Rose Avenue, Apt. #14, Erie, Pennsylvania 16510  
*Attorney:* Robert E. McBride, Esquire, 32 West Eighth Street, Suite 600, Erie, Pennsylvania 16501

## THIRD PUBLICATION

**BARGIELSKI, FRANCES V.,  
deceased**

Late of the City of Erie, County of Erie and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

*Executrix:* Patricia Marie Bargielski, c/o 504 State Street, 3rd Floor, Erie, PA 16501

*Attorney:* Michael J. Nies, Esquire, 504 State Street, 3rd Floor, Erie, PA 16501

**BLACK, JACK L., SR.,  
deceased**

Late of the City of Corry, County of Erie and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

*Executrix:* Carmen M. Black, 13567 W. Smith St. Ext., Corry, PA 16407-8915

*Attorney:* None

**CALAWAY, ALICE L., a/k/a  
ALICE CALAWAY,  
deceased**

Late of the Borough of Girard, County of Erie, State of Pennsylvania

*Co-Executrices:* Diana R. Fetterman, 10067 Sampson Avenue, Lake City, Pennsylvania 16423 and Elaine M. Heiden, 419 Olin Avenue, Girard, Pennsylvania 16417

*Attorney:* James R. Steadman, Esq., 24 Main St. E., Girard, Pennsylvania 16417

**EASTMAN, RAYMOND,  
deceased**

Late of the Township of North East, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

*Executor:* Sandra J. Pavlinko, 3433 West 32nd Street, Erie, Pennsylvania 16506

*Attorney:* Richard A. Vendetti, Esq., Vendetti & Vendetti, 3820 Liberty Street, Erie, PA 16509

**HARSH, JOHN A.,  
deceased**

Late of the Borough of North East, Erie County, Pennsylvania  
*Executrix:* JoAnn Askey, c/o James S. Bryan, Esquire, 11 Park Street, North East, PA 16428

*Attorney:* James S. Bryan, Esq., Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett, P.C., 11 Park Street, North East, PA 16428

**KIEWICE, BERTHA STELLA,  
a/k/a BERTHA S. KIEWICE,  
deceased**

Late of the City of Erie, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
*Executrix:* Sandra M. Goring, 4229 Alan Drive, Erie, Pennsylvania 16510

*Attorney:* Richard A. Vendetti, Esq., Vendetti & Vendetti, 3820 Liberty Street, Erie, PA 16509

**MCCULLOUGH, STEWART C.,  
deceased**

Late of the City of Erie, Erie County, Pennsylvania

*Executors:* Roger Frantz and J. Ronald Kushner

*Attorney:* J. Ronald Kushner, Esquire, PO Box 7, 248 Seneca Street, Oil City, PA 16301

**MILLER, ANNE K., a/k/a  
ANNA J. MILLER, a/k/a  
ANNA K. MILLER, a/k/a  
ANNA MILLER,  
deceased**

Late of the Borough of Lake City, County of Erie, and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

*Administrator dnct:* Richard S. Miller, c/o The McDonald Group, L.L.P., Thomas J. Buseck, P.O. Box 1757, Erie, PA 16507-1757

*Attorney:* Thomas J. Buseck, Esq., The McDonald Group, L.L.P., P.O. Box 1757, Erie, PA 16507-1757

**NELSON, KARIN C.,  
deceased**

Late of Millcreek Township, Erie County, Pennsylvania

*Executrix:* Judith K. Johnson, c/o Robert G. Dwyer, Esquire, 120 West Tenth Street, Erie, PA 16501

*Attorney:* Robert G. Dwyer, Esq., Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett, P.C., 120 West Tenth Street, Erie, PA 16501

**OHMAN, ROBERT E.,  
deceased**

Late of the City of Erie, Erie County, Pennsylvania

*Executrix:* Lucia Ann DePalma, 558 West Sixth Street, Erie, Pennsylvania 16507-1129

*Attorney:* Raymond A. Pagliari, Esq., 558 West Sixth Street, Erie, Pennsylvania 16507-1129

**PONTORIERO, JOSEPH  
DONALD, a/k/a JACK J.  
PONTORIERO,  
deceased**

Late of the City of Erie, County of Erie and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

*Executrix:* Frances H. Pontoriero, 4204 Trask Ave., Erie, PA 16508

*Attorney:* None

**PRESS, IRWIN,  
deceased**

Late of the City of Erie, Erie County, Pennsylvania

*Executrix:* Ann Ivory, c/o Jeffrey D. Scibetta, Esq., Knox, McLaughlin, Gornall & Sennett, P.C., 120 West Tenth Street, Erie, PA 16501

*Attorney:* Jeffrey D. Scibetta, Esq., Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett, P.C., 120 West Tenth Street, Erie, PA 16501

**RESTIFO, JOSEPH D.,  
deceased**

Late of the City of Erie, County of Erie and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

*Executrix:* Carol Lee Restifo

*Attorney:* David J. Rhodes, Esquire, Elderkin, Martin, Kelly & Messina, 150 East 8th Street, Erie, PA 16501

**ROSCINSKI, KATHRYN A.,  
deceased**

Late of the City of Erie  
*Executrix:* Cynthia A.  
Strickenberger, 2432 Pepper Tree  
Drive, Erie, PA 16510  
*Attorney:* Michael A. Fetzner,  
Esq., Knox McLaughlin Gornall  
& Sennett, P.C., 120 West Tenth  
Street, Erie, PA 16501

**SABOL, RUDOLPH R., a/k/a  
RUDOLPH SABOL, a/k/a  
RUDY SABOL,  
deceased**

Late of the Township of  
Girard, County of Erie, State of  
Pennsylvania  
*Executrix:* Susan L. Sabol, 1739  
Skyline Drive, Erie, Pennsylvania  
16509  
*Attorney:* James R. Steadman,  
Esq., 24 Main St. E., Girard,  
Pennsylvania 16417

**WRIGHT, RALPH T.,  
deceased**

Late of the City of Erie, Erie  
County, Pennsylvania  
*Executor:* Mark A. Wright, c/o  
Jerome C. Wegley, Esq., Knox  
McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett,  
P.C., 120 West Tenth Street, Erie,  
PA 16501  
*Attorney:* Jerome C. Wegley,  
Esq., Knox McLaughlin Gornall  
& Sennett, P.C., 120 West Tenth  
Street, Erie, PA 16501

# Erie County Bar Association

## Videoconferencing Services

**ECBA** ——— Your connection to the world of communication.

### WHAT IS VIDEOCONFERENCING?

Videoconferencing, sometimes called teleconferencing, brings together people at different locations around the country and around the world. Our videoconferencing site can connect with one location or with multiple locations, providing an instantaneous connection to facilitate meetings, interviews, depositions and much more.

### WHY USE VIDEOCONFERENCING?

Business can be conducted without the expense and inconvenience of travel, overnight accommodations and time out of the office.

### WHAT ARE SOME OF THE COMMON USES OF VIDEOCONFERENCING?

Depositions, employment interviews, seminars, training sessions - the list of possibilities is endless.

### I'M NOT FAMILIAR WITH VIDEOCONFERENCING.

#### CAN I SEE HOW IT WORKS?

Certainly. Call us for a free demonstration.

### HOW DO I SCHEDULE THE USE OF THE ECBA'S VIDEOCONFERENCING SERVICES?

It's very easy. Just call the ECBA at 814-459-3111 or email [sbsmith@eriebar.com](mailto:sbsmith@eriebar.com). We will check availability of our space and handle all of the details for you, including locating convenient sites in the other location(s) you wish to connect with - all included in our hourly rate.

### WHAT DOES IT COST?

#### RATES:

##### Non-ECBA Members:

*\$185/hour - M-F, 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.*

*\$235/hour - M-F, All other times; weekends*

##### ECBA Members:

*\$150/hour - M-F, 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.*

*\$200/hour - M-F, all other times, weekends*



**CHANGES IN CONTACT INFORMATION OF ECBA MEMBERS**

KEVIN C. JENNINGS ----- (814) 452-6800  
Shapira, Hutzelman, et al ----- (f) (814) 456-2227  
305 West Sixth Street  
Erie, PA 16507 ----- *kjennings@shapiralaw.com*

**New Name**

MARISSA SAVASTANA is now MARISSA SAVASTANA WATTS ---- *mwatts@mijb.com*

**New Email**

JACK M. GORNALL ----- *kgornall@neo.rr.com*

The Erie County Bar Foundation and its Justice Samuel J. Roberts Scholarship Fund continue to be in need of contributions to support this scholarship program.

Have you made your tax deductible contribution yet?

If not, you can find information about the scholarship and make an online contribution at [www.eriebar.com](http://www.eriebar.com) or contact the ECBF at 459-3111.

INTERESTED IN JOINING THE ERIE COUNTY BAR ASSOCIATION?

GO TO OUR WEBSITE AT [WWW.ERIEBAR.COM](http://WWW.ERIEBAR.COM) AND COMPLETE THE ONLINE APPLICATION OR CALL (814) 459-3111 AND AN APPLICATION WILL BE MAILED TO YOU

ADDRESS CHANGE?

PLEASE CONTACT THE LEGAL JOURNAL OFFICE AT (814) 459-3111  
OR [ADMIN@ERIEBAR.COM](mailto:ADMIN@ERIEBAR.COM). THANK YOU.

LOOKING FOR A LEGAL AD PUBLISHED IN ONE OF PENNSYLVANIA'S LEGAL JOURNALS?



► Look for this logo on the Erie County Bar Association website as well as Bar Association and Legal Journal websites across the state.

► It will take you to THE website for locating legal ads published in counties throughout Pennsylvania, a service of the Conference of County Legal Journals.

LOGIN DIRECTLY AT [WWW.PALEGALADS.ORG](http://WWW.PALEGALADS.ORG). IT'S EASY. IT'S FREE.



# DENNIS LAGAN & ASSOCIATES, INC

## INVESTIGATORS AND CONSULTANTS

- ◆ DOMESTIC, CIVIL, CRIMINAL
- ◆ WRITTEN STATEMENTS
- ◆ SURVEILLANCE
- ◆ WIRETAP/"BUG" DETECTION
- ◆ POLYGRAPH

**814-455-7007**  
ERIE, PENNSYLVANIA

**877-99-LAGAN**  
(TOLL-FREE)

[www.laganpi.com](http://www.laganpi.com)

Dennis Lagan  
27 Years- PSP

Gerald Nichols  
30 Years - FBI

Benjamin Suchocki  
30 Years - FBI/IRS

Jennifer Mazur  
Investigator

NORTHWEST PENNSYLVANIA'S PREMIER INVESTIGATIVE TEAM

**CHARLES W. KENRICK, ESQ.**



*Mediation and ADR*

**Over 35 years of civil litigation  
experience in representing both  
plaintiffs and defendants**

*Member - Academy of Trial Lawyers*

*Approved Mediator - U.S. District Court (W.D.Pa.)*

*Past President - Allegheny County Bar Association*

MEDICAL MALPRACTICE | PERSONAL INJURY | AUTO LAW  
PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY | INSURANCE | BUSINESS DISPUTES

**Law Offices of Charles W. Kenrick**

U.S. Steel Tower • 600 Grant Street, Suite 600 • Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219

**412-281-3417** TEL **412-261-2760** FAX

**ck@kenricklaw.com** E-MAIL

*www.kenricklaw.com*



*Quality...Experience...Results...*

*It's what your clients deserve*

*Medical Malpractice • Auto Accidents • Personal Injury*



**GISMONDI**

& ASSOCIATES

**412-281-2200**

**[www.gislaw.com](http://www.gislaw.com)**

**700 Grant Bldg., 310 Grant St., Pgh., PA 15219**